Financial Risk and Network Theory Seminar 23 September 2014

Financial Catastrophe Risk Modelling

Centre for Risk Studies



Dr Andrew Coburn Dr Fabio Caccioli Dr Andrew Skelton

Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies



Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies Background Understanding Catastrophic Failure in Complex Systems





Judge Business School

Risk Studies

- Proceedings:
 - http://www.risk.jbs.cam.ac.uk/news/events/risksummits/risksummit2009.html
- Focus of the Centre for Risk Studies has been an enabler of projects and interchanges on complexity science and emergent behaviour
- Analysis of tightly-coupled systems, non-linear feedback loops, and failure analysis
- Risk Centre conference: Managing the Risk of Catastrophic Failure in Complex Systems
- Prompted a research programme
 into the effects of shocks on business
 networks: 'A Shock to the System'
- Applying catastrophe risk modelling techniques to network analysis
- Has a focus on macroeconomics and financial impacts for practitioners



Sanjeev Goyal's Connections: An Introduction to the Economics of Networks

Exogenous Shocks to the Economic & Financial System



Taxonomy of Threats



Cyber Catastrophe Stress Test Scenario





Pandemic Stress Test Scenario

Geopolitical Conflict Stress Test Scenario



Social Unrest Stress Test Scenario



Centre for Risk Studies Reports available for download from: <u>CambridgeRiskFramework.com</u>

Network Models and Connectivity

International Trading Networks



Business Relationships between Companies



UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE Judge Business School Centre for Risk Studies Travel Flows of People and Goods



Communications and Social Media



Uses of Stress Test Scenarios by Practitioners

- Monthly reporting of potential losses against standard scenario
 - Monitoring progress of asset portfolio management towards resilience
- Risk capital allocation across different departments of operations
- Comparison of different drivers of vulnerability in portfolio or operations
- Counterparty risk and credit control management
- Business limit setting and allocation of underwriting loss potential
- Stress tests

Judge Business School

Centre for Risk Studies

- Need to be sufficiently severe to challenge managers assumptions of the status quo
- Need to be plausible, coherent, and accessible
- Have to reference the decisions made by managers









5

Financial Stress Test Scenarios



Asset Bubble Shock Global Property Bubble Collapse

Sudden collapse of property prices in China followed by many other emerging and developed markets triggers a cascading crisis throughout the global financial system



Sovereign Default Shock Eurozone Meltdown

Unexpected default of Italy is followed by a number of other European countries, leading to multiple cession from the Union and causing an extensive financial crisis for investors



High-Inflation Trend Food and Energy Price Spiral

A series of world events puts pressure on energy prices and food prices in a price increasing spiral, which becomes structural and takes many years to unwind



Judge Business School

De-Americanization of Financial System **Dollar Dethroned**

US dollar loses its dominance as the default trading currency as it becomes supplanted by the Chinese Renminbi, leading to rapid unwinding of US Treasury positions and economic chaos

Centre for Risk Studies

Bubble Babble



Risk Studies

CAMBRIDGE

Judge Business School

China Property Bubble

China housing prices have sustained an average annual growth rate of 17% for past decade

- Data based on 35 major Chinese cities, Aug 2014
- In same period, average growth of real GDP has been 10%
 - Impressive but far below housing price escalation
- Great housing boom has generated a large number of empty ('ghost') apartments across major cities in China
 - Large majority are sold but unoccupied properties held for appreciation rather than owner usage or rental income generation
 - Indicator of strong speculative demand, rather than excess supply
- In 2013 the national urban housing vacancy rate in China reached 22.4%
 - Far more than developed countries
 - Homeowner vacancy rate in U.S. was only about 3% during the peak of the U.S. housing bubble in 2006

Centre for Risk Studies

8

Inflated Housing Markets

Rental Value Most Misaligned with

House Price to Rental Ratio

% deviation from long term average

Property Market Bubble Risk

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands

Tier 6: Other Europe Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Ireland, Austria, Denmark

Tier 7: US United States

Tier 8: Prudent Europe Germany, Switzerland

Tier 9 Industrial Asia Japan and South Korea Tier 10 RoW Other markets

In this exercise we consider the geographical extent and the severity of the property price correction that would cause a significant contagion event through the financial system

- We use network analytics to define a plausible, severe hypothetical event for use as a stress test
- We are developing this as a stress test for use by practitioners managing investment portfolios
- This requires a model of the global financial system that can propagate property price corrections as a contagion process

Developing a Model of Global Financial System

- Integrating multiple sources of data on banks, lending patterns, cross-holdings, and assets
- Currently includes 18,516 banks
 - Important to include all jurisdictions and markets as one global financial system
 - This example focuses on cross-holdings and mortgage lending
- Future potential to link it to database of corporate enterprises

BUREAU VAN DUK

Data Sources include:

Centre for Risk Studies Network Model of Financial System

<u>\</u>

Centre for Risk Studies

Summary of Financial System Statistics 18,516 banks Total market value of \$214 Trillion - Total equity value of **\$17.4 Trillion** Mortgage assets total \$18.1 Trillion • Mortgage lending exceeds the equity value of banks 3,520 banks have direct exposure to mortgage lending 3,628 banks have cross-holdings in banks with mortgage exposures

All banks have exposure to assets that would devalue in the event of a property price correction

Global Systemically Important Banks (GSIBS)

Mortgage Exposure of GSIBs

Financial Stability Board, November 2013 T		Total Market Value	Mortgage	Mortgage Book as	Equity	
FSB Bucket	Financial Institution	(US\$ Bn)	US\$ Bn	% of Total Value	(US\$ Bn)	Mortgage/Equity
4	JP Morgan Chase	3,280	189	6%	275	69%
4	HSBC	2,488	181	7%	220	82%
3	Barclays	2,095	210	10%	119	176%
3	Citigroup	1,949	144	7%	208	69%
3	Deutsche Bank	2,833	128	5%	128	100%
3	BNP Paribas	2,875	106	4%	167	64%
2	UBS	1,321	180	14%	83	218%
2	Mitsubishi UFJ FG	2,591	168	6%	253	67%
2	Credit Suisse	1,663	134	8%	134	100%
2	Bank of America	2,375	19	1%	381	5%
2	Goldman Sachs	1,700	14	1%	105	14%
2	Morgan Stanley	205	5	2%	24	19%
2	Royal Bank of Scotland	2,047	0.4	0%	233	0%
2	Group Crédit Agricole	2,353	0	0%	110	0%
1	Groupe BPCE	1,549	376	24%	75	499%
1	Bank of China	2,306	230	10%	167	137%
1	Santander	1,009	121	12%	80	151%
1	Société Générale	1,886	105	6%	82	129%
1	Nordea	956	102	11%	59	174%
1	State Street	1,861	98	5%	175	56%
1	ING Bank	947	73	8%	116	63%
1	Sumitomo Mitsui FG	698	58	8%	78	74%
1	Bank of New York Mellon	1,135	50	4%	104	48%
1	Unicredit Group	867	50	6%	86	58%
1	BBVA	631	14	2%	65	22%
1	Mizuho FG	1,583	6	0%	118	5%
1	ICBC	3,041	5	0%	210	2%
1	Standard Chartered	471	3	1%	37	9%
1	Wells Fargo	1,403	2	0%	139	1%
		50,119	2,772	6%		
Consoli	All Banks in Financial System Cated mortgage Bs as % of Total FS	n: 219,000 S: 23%	18,000 15%	8%		15

Analysis of Asset Structure of a GSIB

Centre for Risk Studies

Contagion Mechanisms

- What mechanisms cause financial contagion?
 - Interbank lending (Counterparty Failure Risk)
 - Commonly-held asset devaluation (Fire-Sales)
 - Ownership equity devaluation (Cross-Holding)
 - Repo borrowing calls (Rollover Risk)
- Interaction between these mechanisms is more important than a single mechanism on its own
 - In this presentation we represent two:
 - Cross-Holding Loss (dynamically)
 - Commonly-Held Asset Fire-Sales (nondynamically)

Interacting contagion mechanisms are more significant than individual mechanisms

Contagion Mechanics

- Banks cross-share holdings are a significant contagion mechanism
 - We use the contagion model recently proposed by Elliott, Golub and Jackson (AER, forthcoming).
 - Bank cross-holdings can also be used as a proxy for interbank relationships (see Battiston et al. SR 2012)
- The "value" of a bank depends on the value of other banks it is connected to
- If a banks' "value" falls below a given threshold the bank become distressed and discontinuously loses further value (as determined by a specified failure cost)
- A distressed bank causes direct losses to other banks it is connected to

Centre for Risk Studies

Judge Business School

How This Scenario Might Play Out

- Fed begins tapering as US economic growth accelerates and jobless rates drop below 6%
- Credit sensitive instruments sell off:
 - Corporate bonds, junk bonds, Munis, Real Estate, Utilities
- The most inflated property markets in emerging economies are hit first
 - China begins the property bubble deflation with a rapid pricing collapse
- Other inflated property markets follow suit, with different degrees of correction
 - Global housing bubble
- Contagion flows through the financial system
 - Significant loss of value to the entire system
- Lengthy recession ensues
 - Global economy returns to another cycle of negative growth

Hypothetical News TV & Video International Business Sport Entertainment

Taper Tantrums

Investors in big sell off as Feds accelerate end to QE

Thursday, September 5

New York, (2103 EST)

Markets are jittery as consequences are still uncertain from the US Fed decision to end the bond purchases that have been propping up the financial system

A rush of sell-offs marked the markets yesterday as credit sensitive instruments were subject to unsettled markets. Most affected were assets related to corporate bonds, junk bonds, Munis, and utilities.

News coverage of the tapering decision unsettled markets and caused corporate bonds sell-offs

cross the world that could potentially

Property Crash Spreads to Europe

Following major housing market collapses in China and Canada, property prices are on the slide in Scandinavia and UK

Tues, December 15

Oslo, (18:00 CET)

Norway's latest house price statistics show a 10% slide in a single month. Early indicators follow a stagnant period for house sales in Sweden and UK, and analysts are speculating that the collapse of the property market could be deeper and more extensive than anything yet seen.

Weak house price indicators are now being seen in some ten countries worldwide, following the sudden fall in

Buy-to-Let schemes are being blamed for inflating house prices in UK and other countries

spokesman tried to calm the market

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE Iudge Business School

Correlation of Investment Assets with Real Estate

Centre for Risk Studies

Finding the Contagion Point for Property Bubble Top 6 Tiers of Property Markets

Pro	perty Val As	ue Reduction Shock: set Value Reduction:	5% 0%	10% 1%	20% 5%	30% 7%	35% 7.5%	40% 8%	50% 10%
Lost Valu	ie to Tot	al Financial System							
		Direct Shock:	0.2%	0.8%	2.9%	4.1%	4.5%	4.9%	6.1%
Total Loss with Contagion (Same markets + International):			0.2%	0.8%	4.3%	9.0%	12.2%	15.5%	29.0%
C (Contagion amplifier:	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.2	1.7	2.2	3.8
	Nun	nber of Failed Banks:	0	0	150	243	291	342	1,059
Banks that failed from Mortgage-shock: Banks that failed through contagion:		0	0	159	239	284	324	1,027	
		0	0	0	6	7	18	32	
		Failed GSIBs:	0	0	0	2	4	6	14
	35%								
	30% -								
	25% -								
Lost Value						-0			
to Total	20% -				•	adio			
Financial					~ ^^	las			
	15% -				n ()0				
System	100(N ⁱ N	<u>"</u> (I)				
	10% -						rhock		
	5%				D	irect :	SHOCK		
	570								
	0% +					1			
	0%	6 10%	20%	30%	6 0	40%	50	%	
UNIVERSITY OF Contro for		Property	v Market	Correct	ion Sho	ck			
CAMBRIDGE Risk Studie	5	Tier 1-6 Coun	tries (I	Most e	xpose	d 24 m	narkets	5)	

Geographical Spread and Severity

France,

China,

ler

Centre for

Risk Studies

UNIVERSITY OF MBRIDGE

Judge Business School

United

Property Market Bubble Risk	Property Correction Shock	Shock to Non- Mortgage Assets
Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey	40%	8.0%
Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand	40%	8.0%
Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden	40%	8.0%
Tier 4: UK United Kingdom	35%	7.5%
Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands	35%	7.5%
Tier 6: Other Europe Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Ireland, Austria, Denmark	30%	7.0%
Tier 7: US United States	10%	1.0%
Tier 8: Prudent Europe Germany, Switzerland	10%	1.0%
Tier 9 Industrial Asia Japan and South Korea	10%	1.0%
Tier 10 RoW Other markets	0%	0%

Centre for Risk Studies

1 Tier 1 Markets – China and emerging markets – suffer property correction

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

2 Property correction wave spreads to Tier 2 markets: Commonwealth countries

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

3 Tier 3 markets affected - Nordics

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

4 Property price slump affects UK – Tier 4 market

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

5 Property market corrections begin in Tier 5 – France, Belgium, Netherlands

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands

增

6 Property market collapse reaches other Europeans – Tier 6

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands

Tier 6: Other Europe Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Ireland, Austria, Denmark

7 Milder property pricing correction in US

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands

Tier 6: Other Europe Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Ireland, Austria, Denmark

Tier 7: US United States

8 Tier 8 countries affected

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands

Tier 6: Other Europe Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Ireland, Austria, Denmark

Tier 7: US United States

Tier 8: Prudent Europe Germany, Switzerland

9 Finally reaches least exposed markets – Tier 9

Tier 1: China & Emerging Markets China, Hong Kong, India, Brazil, Philippines, Indonesia, Turkey

Tier 2: Commonwealth Canada, Australia, New Zealand

Tier 3: Nordics Norway, Finland, Sweden

Tier 4: UK United Kingdom

Tier 5: Europeans France, Belgium, Netherlands

Tier 6: Other Europe Spain, Portugal, Italy, Greece, Ireland, Austria, Denmark

Tier 7: US United States

Tier 8: Prudent Europe Germany, Switzerland

Tier 9 Industrial Asia Japan and South Korea

and,

10 Global wave of property market collapse is complete

Key Metrics of Consequences of Scenario

- Our fictional 'Global Property Crash of 2015' wipes out 5-15% of the value of the financial system
 - It is highly systemic, and has strong contagion characteristics
- Four GSIBs fail
- It is geographically diverse and has implications for all major markets
- This \$10-32 Trillion value loss could potentially be significantly larger than the value loss to the system suffered in the 2008-9 Great Financial Crisis
 - We estimate the lost Global GDP 2007-12 at \$18 Trillion (\$20 Trillion at today's values)
 - The GFC caused a lengthy period of reduced economic activity
- Performance of individual financial institutions is highly heterogeneous
 - Internal risk management processes can dramatically change the outcome for specific financial entities

Conclusions: A Research Agenda

- Practitioners are learning from the pioneers of network theory in finance
- Looking for practical applications and real-world calibrations to guide 'what-if' estimates
- Less interested in prediction or best estimate forecasts...
- ...more interested in uncertainty characterization and considering worst cases and extreme limits
- The Centre for Risk Studies is looking to play a role in developing network theory for application in business decision support
- Empowering practitioners to manage their own risk will reduce systemic risk better than regulation

Centre for **Risk Studies**

