


Financial Risk & Network Theory Seminar

SUMMARY OF ATTENDEE FEEDBACK

Many thanks to all the attendees of the seminar who provided their feedback.

Overall Rating

Respondents were asked to score the overall rating of the seminar on Financial Risk & Network Theory Seminar 2015, from 1 (Low) to 5 (High).



Presentation Sessions

Respondents were asked to score each session in terms of the quality of their content and interest level.


Presentation	Number of responses	Average Score	Percentage (%) of Respondents				
			1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)
9:30 Keynotes, Session I	59	4.37	2%	0%	10%	36%	53%
11:30 Keynotes, Session II	59	4.17	0%	5%	15%	37%	42%
14:15 Parallel Sessions							
I: Systemic Risk	38	4.21	0%	5%	11%	42%	42%
II: Payment & Supply	18	4.06	0%	6%	11%	56%	28%
III: Financial History	11	4.18	0%	0%	18%	45%	36%
16:15 Parallel Sessions							
IV: Network Theory	24	4.17	0%	0%	17%	50%	33%
V: Correlation Networks	25	4.40	0%	0%	4%	52%	44%
VI: Interbank Networks	23	3.96	0%	4%	26%	39%	30%

Research Impact

To assess the application of research outputs on business activities, respondents were asked to give feedback on the relevance of the research work being presented at this seminar, by asking whether these statements apply.


Improving Understanding

The material presented was useful in improving my understanding of issues that affect my business or professional activities




Dissemination to Others

The material presented is likely to be of interest to colleagues and I will pass on presentations from the seminar to others in my organization or elsewhere




Personal Decisional-Making

I am likely to incorporate material from the research presented here into my future business decisions




Organization Value

The scenarios, framework and taxonomy of threats may be directly useful in helping my organisation structure risk management actions in future




Journal Potential

I would be interested in content on similar topics published in future editions of the Journal of Network Theory in Finance.



Seminar Value

I would be interested in attending a future seminar on Financial Risk and Network Theory.



Additional Comments

Future Suggestions

Respondents were invited to provide suggestions for would they would like to see from future Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies seminars. Suggestions received:

- Risk monitors that are applied to regulate data and financial investor data
- More practitioner, less equations
- Fewer parallel sessions to be able to attend more talks sequentially
- A seminar related with mining industry and risks (natural resources)
- Further emphasis on linking research into policy formation
- Alternative finance, digital finance, P2P lending, crowdfunding
- More presentations on the return of assets and how network theory can help portfolio construction
- An interactive visualisation session. Interrogate the network presentation
- Focus the sessions on specific financial stability threats (contagion, leverage, liquidity) instead of techniques or sectors

Additional feedback

Excellent seminar - very relevant content from a diverse background and expertise. Very thought-provoking discussions, looking forward to next event

Seemed like a mature, strong (high quality) audience - is the field settling to a "norm"?

Fantastic conference. Bravo.

Try and get some critical feedback from end users. What do they find useful or not. Have a final panel discussion to clarify what progress we are making on understanding of financial risk and networks.

Overall comment: Interesting ideas, but really applied maths needed to build the bridge to banking reality

Keep doing these good events

Great conference! It was an excellent experience which brought together experts from different fields of financial networks

A good conference - very interesting topic, good selection of speakers. Breaks were long enough to meet other attendees. Well done.

Really great event and well organised

A lot of parallel sessions - maybe having less parallel sessions and a longer conference would be better

Push for regulators to participate such that the practice of network theory grows. Very nice structure, would love to see the conference last a full two days.

The event was very well organised. The content was heterogeneous, some presentations were quite good, and others were a little tedious. Suggest advising the presenters beforehand so they can make clearer and briefer presentations. Some presentations in the pre-conference were quite good, and perhaps would be more suitable for the main conference. Give more information to presenters prior to the event (length of presentations, the graph contest, etc).