Centre for Risk Studies Research Showcase 13 January 2015 Session 1: Cambridge Risk Framework

### Cambridge Risk Framework - Developments and Objectives

### Centre for Risk Studies





Director of Technology Research and Innovation

Centre for Risk Studies

### **Cambridge Risk Framework**

- A platform for the Centre's research
- A central data repository for the Centre
- Relational database with geospatial extensions
- Core concepts like Threat Taxonomy built in
- Data gathering programme
- Software toolkit for model development
- Mapping, network and graph drawing support tools
- Sharable, web enabled, web control panel



### **Cambridge Risk Framework**



Centre for Risk Studies Research Showcase 13 January 2015 Session 1: Cambridge Risk Framework

### **Cities at Risk / Risk Atlas**

Centre for Risk Studies



Director of Technology Research and Innovation

**Simon Ruffle** 

Centre for Risk Studies

# **Cities at Risk**



We picked the 'A List' of the world's cities for this analysis, which:

- Are responsible for **half** of the World's GDP today
- Will be responsible for two-thirds of the World's GDP in 2025
- Are the largest cities in the 50 largest economies in the world
  - Top 25 cities in US (#1 economy) and top 32 cities in China (#2 economy)
  - Between 5 and 12 top cities for each of the rest of the top 17 economies
- Include all cities over 3m population in the world
- Consist of half of the world's capital cities

CAMBRIDGE Judge Business School Centre for Risk Studies



Natural Catastrophe & Climate









Tsunami



Drought



Freeze

Heatwave



Financial, Trade & **Business** 



Market Crash

**Oil Price** Shock

Tropical

Temperate

Sovereign Default

Eruption



Politics, Crime & Security



War



Unrest



Technology & Space



Cyber Catastrophe Outage



Storm

Nuclear Meltdown



Health & Environmental



Plant Epidemic Human **Crop Failure** Epidemic



### **GDP@Risk Estimation Process**





# A Frequency and Severity Model for Each Threat

- Identification of the authoritative science about each threat
  - Key data repository
- Geographical mapping of frequency and severity
  - Threat Assessment Grading for 300 cities
- Definition of a magnitude scale of occurrence
  - How big and how often might we expect this threat over the next 10 years?
- Quantification of the frequency and severity of each threat globally
  - Regional frequency and severity in many cases
- Definition of three 'characteristic scenarios' of each threat
- Definition of intensity scale for experiencing the threat scenarios at a location (city)
- Quantification of the economic impact of each characteristic scenario on a typical city
  - Incorporating physical vulnerability and social and economic resilience of the city
  - Output in terms of 'GDP@Risk' –a standard metric to compare different threats



# The Maths: Simplified Exceedance Probability



	0 0 0 0 1 1 0	1 0 1 0 11	2 0101110	0 0 0 0 1 10	ODI CINON	1100 1100
Small	0.349	0.387	0.194	0.057	0.50%	0.32%
Medium	0.904	0.096	0.010	-	10%	1.05%
_arge	0.990	0.010	-	-	40%	0.40%
E	Expected GDP	@Risk from Thr	eat Type X to M	exico City	2014-2025	1.77%

- All occurrence is independent and random
- No compounding of effects

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE Judge Business School

## **GDP Projections**



- GDP projections for each year to 2025 have been derived for each of the 300 cities
- These draw on studies from McKinsey, Brookings Institute and others
- Projections take account of trends in GDP and future demographic change.



# **Vulnerability of the City influences Shock Severity**



- Physical Vulnerability includes assessment of the quality of buildings and compliance to construction codes, such as seismic
- Flood Vulnerability considers water damage loss by economic sector
- Cyber Vulnerability considers the reliance on IT and its criticality for the city's economic output
- Financial Vulnerability considers connectivity and impact from a financial crisis
- Pandemic Vulnerability includes healthcare index assessment by World Health Organization

### **Resilience: Recovery Speed**

- The speed of recovery of the city is influenced by its social and economic resilience
- We have developed a Resilience classification (1-5) for cities based on four factors (adaptation of an accepted technique ND-GAIN)
  - Governance; Social coherence; Economic strength; Infrastructure
- Resilience' of the city determines how long the recovery process takes
- Recovery is calibrated from precedent studies of economic recovery after disaster



### **Future Crises and their Characteristics**

			Characteristic Shape	Small	Medium	Large
1		Financial Endogenous				
2		Geopolitical				
3		Nature	~			
4		Technological				~
5	$\dot{\circ}$	Humanitarian				
6		External				



Eighteen 'event templates'



Human Epidemic

**Plant Epidemic** 



### Interstate War





Judge Business School

### Interstate War Threat

- A. Very High Threat of Interstate Conflict
  B. High Threat of Interstate Conflict
  C. Moderate Threat of Interstate Conflict
  D. Low Threat of Interstate Conflict
  E. Major Power with Some Threat of Conflict
  F. Major Power with Very Low Threat of Conflict
- G Conflict is Possible but No Scenarios Identified

#### Lines of Conflict

Conflict with world superpower state Conflict among other states military production; population gripped by fear, consumer demand drops, parts of population flees. Investor confidence is affected; Conflict lasts a year.

- IW2 City suffers sporadic attack from occasional missiles or aerial bombardment, possible damage to city infrastructure from military cyber attack; City is mobilized for war; significant emigration of population from city. Investors withdraw.
- IW3 City is the target of strategic bombing by enemy forces, destroying industrial and commercial output and military facilities in the city; Major emigration by population. Possible rebuilding afterwards by major injection of capital. Conflict lasts 3 years.

#### Top 10 Cities by GDP@Risk (\$US Bn)

	1	IRN	Tehran	41
:	2	SDN	Khartoum	35
:	3	KOR	Seoul	32
	4	IRQ	Baghdad	29
;	5	ISR	Tel Aviv	22
(	6	UKR	Kiev	21
	7	TWN	Taipei	20
1	8	SAU	Riyadh	18
1	9	PAK	Karachi	18
	10	KWT	Kuwait City	17



# Sovereign Default



Credit Rating: Threat Assessment Grading



Sovereign default, where a national government is unable to meet its financial obligations or honour its treasury bonds, results in devaluation of the national currency and the loss of foreign direct investment, which can have significant impact on the economic outputs of cities in that country. The published national credit rating of Standard and Poor's for June 2014 (pre-dating the Argentina default of July 2014) is used to assess the probability of national default, combined with an historical perspective of past defaults by countries from the post-1810 catalogue of Reinhart & Rogoff. The national assessment is applied to all cities in that country, to assess GDP loss and probability of the characteristic scenario of SP#@outry defaults and reschedules its debt, devalues its currency

substantially; Investors flee. National economy loses substantial foreign direct investment

#### Top 10 Cities by GDP@Risk (\$US Bn)

1	ARG	<b>Buenos Aires</b>	12
2	TUR	Istanbul	10
3	IRN	Tehran	9
4	EGY	Cairo	8
5	IDN	Jakarta	7
6	VEN	Caracas	4
7	TUR	Ankara	3
8	ALG	Algiers	3
9	SDN	Khartoum	3
10	) IRN	Meshed	3



Judge Business School

# **Oil Shock**







### Freeze



### City GDP@Risk (US\$ Bn)



**Risk Studies** 

Centre for

Extreme temperature events are most disruptive in climatic regions where they occur only rarely and cities are not well prepared, Extreme freeze events in temperate climatic regions disrupt transport, close airports and ports, and damage infrastructure. Temperature records and historical weather accounts, including those compiled by World Health Organization, EM-DAT, and US Centres for Disease Control, provide analysis of freeze threat. Cities are shown here on Köppen–Geiger climate zones. Duration and severity provide degree-day severity metrics for freeze events. Cities are analyzed by the likelihood of experiencing characteristic scenarios and their vulnerability to them:

- FR1 Freeze of up to 5 deg below 0 deg Celcius for 3 weeks (-20-100 Degree-days) with some snow and ice, moderate winds
- FR2 Freeze of up to 10 deg below 0 deg Celcius for 8 weeks, combined with deep snow and high winds
- FR3 Freeze of up to 20 degrees below 0 deg Celcius for 12 weeks, combined with heavy snow and severe ice loads periodically

#### Top 10 Cities by GDP@Risk (\$US Bn)

1	USA	New York	2
2	RUS	Moscow	1
3	KOR	Seoul	1
4	JPN	Tokyo	1
5	USA	Chicago	1
6	CHN	Beijing	.8
7	USA	Washington DC	.7
8	FRA	Paris	.6
9	CAN	Toronto	.5
10	GBR	London	.5



# Solar Storm





### Risk Solar Storm Threat

•	
1	0.00 - 0.48
	0.48 - 0.96
	0.96 - 1.45
	1.45 - 1.93
	1.93 - 2.42
CAMB	RIDGE
Judge Bus	iness School

### A Very High Threat from Solar Storm Events B High Threat from Solar Storm Events C Moderate Threat from Solar Storm Events

D Moderately Low Threat from Solar Storm Events

E Low Threat from Solar Storm Events

F Very Low Threat from Solar Storm Events

Solar activity can create geomagnetic and solar radiation storms on earth which can damage electrical circuitry and power transmission systems. These are generated by Xclass solar flares, of magnitudes 20 and above, as highlighted in Lloyd's emerging risk report. The observed frequency of solar flares of different magnitudes since 1976 provides extreme value likelihoods for very large solar flares. Their effects on the earth are amplified by the geomagnetic field, as mapped above. Cities located in the strongest geomagnetic latitudes will be worse affected. The study uses the Space Weather Scale for Solar Radiation Storms defined by the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, to define characteristic scenarios for evaluation of effects on individual St. Radiation storm level S4, equivalent to solar flare of X20.

- SS2 Radiation storm level S5 equivalent to solar flare of X40 (Similar to 'Carrington Event')
- **SS3** Radiation storm level S6+ (Beyond 5-point NOAA Scale). Estimated effects of solar flare of X60 also known as a class Z event.

#### Top 10 Cities by GDP@Risk (\$US Bn)

1	JPN	Tokyo	2
2	USA	New York	2
3	RUS	Moscow	1
4	USA	Los Angeles	1
5	FRA	Paris	1
6	GBR	London	1
7	KOR	Seoul	1
8	USA	Chicago	1
9	BRA	São Paulo	.9
10	JPN	Osaka	.9

Centre for Risk Studies

### **GDP@Risk**



Cities - GDP at Risk - Total US\$ (Bn)



Cities - GDP at Risk - Total %





### Top 50 Cities by GDP at Risk (\$Bn 2015-2024)

GDP at Risk (\$US Bn)

				0 50	100	150	200
Rank	City Name	GDP@Risk (\$US Bn)	Tainei	l	1		ı
1	Taipei	201.62	Talpel	-			
2	Tokvo	183.07	Seoul	-			Tior 1
3	Seoul	136.52	Manila	-		Tier 2	TIEL I
4	Manila	114.02	Tehran	-			
5	Tehran	108.50	Istanbul	-		J	
6	Istanbul	108.50	New York	-		7	
0	New York	105.65	Osaka	-			
1		91.25	Los Angeles	-			
8	Osaka	91.11	Shanghai	-			
9	Los Angeles	90.84	Hona Kona	-		- Tier 3	
10	Shanghai	88.15	Buenos Aires	-		TICE 5	
11	Hong Kong	87.72	Bombay (Mumbai)	-			
12	Buenos Aires	85.60	Delhi	-			
13	Bombay (Mumbai)	80.99	Lima	-			
14	Delhi	76.96	Sao Paulo	-		_	
15	Lima	72.69	Paris	-	-	]	
16	Sao Paulo	63.36	Beiiing	-	1		
1/	Paris	56.23	Mexico City	-			
18	Beijing	55.10	London	-			
19		54.04	Moscow	-			
20	London	53.92	Singapore	-			
21	Singapara	53.52	Tianjin	-			
22	Tioniin	51.18	Guangzhou	-			
23	Guanazhou	30.24	Tel Aviv Jaffa	-			
24		49.50	Kabul	-			
26	Kabul	49.40	Kuwait City	-		Tion 4	
27	Kuwait City	49.03	Bangkok	-			
28	Bangkok	49.04	Chengtu	-			
29	Chengtu	48.86	Karachi	-			
30	Karachi	48.79	Shenzhen	-			
31	Shenzhen	47.83	Khartoum	-			
32	Khartoum	47.43	Hangzhou	-			
33	Hangzhou	46.46	Jeddah	-			
34	Jeddah	45.93	Riyadh	-			
36	Riyadh	44.43	Chicago	-			
37	Chicago	42.67	San Francisco				
38	San Francisco	41.63	Dongguan, Guangdong	]			
39	Dongguan, Guangdong	41.51	Jakarta		- r	J	
40	Jakarta	41.42	Berne	]			
41	Berne	37.59	Kiev	]			
42	Kiev	36.73	Izmir	]			
43	Izmir	35.40	Cairo				
44	Cairo	34.42	Nagoya		<b>-</b>		
45	Nagoya	31.84	Houston		F lier 5		
46	Houston	31.83	Bogotá				
47	Duyula	30.77	Santiago	_			
40	Jagos	30.66	Lagos	_			04
49	Layus Calcutta	30.64	Calcutta				21
50	Calculla	30.12					

.......................

Centre for Risk Studies Research Showcase 13 January 2015 Session 1: Cambridge Risk Framework

# **Digital Exploration Tool**

Centre for Risk Studies



Director of Technology Research and Innovation

**Simon Ruffle** 

Centre for Risk Studies

# **Digital Exploration Tool: Main Outcomes for CRS**

sybil.cambridgeriskframework.com

- Development of the tool has significantly advanced the research frontier of the Cambridge Risk Framework
- Proves the concept of a tool to explore a catastrophe scenario online
- Basis for future research and development of interactive risk assessment in a variety of areas
- Has helped in development of the underlying relational database for storing scenarios



# **Technical Highlights**

- Modular client/server architecture separating dynamic digital exploration GUI from back end data, modelling and analytics
- Based on open-source industry-standard development tools
- Web control panel facilitates team working on the Tool in the Risk Centre
- Flexible Relational Database and Intermediate JSON Structure (IJS) allows integration with a wide range of data analytic tools
- Server side Python plug in architecture aids collaboration inside Risk Centre and with research partners



### **Asset Engine Database Structure**





Centre for Risk Studies

### **Server Side Plug In Architecture**







**Risk Studies** 



9

Sybil Logic Bomb Cyber Catastrophe Scenario

Systemically Important Technology Enterprises. Mapping the Consequences of an Interconnected Digital Economy

stage Commu

The Cyber Econemy The Scenario Impacts Sybil and Industry Macroeconomic Consequences Investment Analysis



### Search

- + SM CO
- + ABB LTD-REG
- + ACCENTURE PLC-CLA
- + ACER INC.
- + AEON COLTD
- AIR CANADA CLASS A
- + ARCHINALTD-A
- + AIR FRANCE-KLM
- AISIN SEIKI CO LTD.
- + ALCOA INC
- + ALSTOM

### The Cyber Economy

The Global Enterprise Network Model of the Cyber Economy

Welcome to the digital exploration of the Sybil Logic Bomb Cyber Catastrophe Scenano

This image shows our Cyber Economy - the world's largest and most important companies. Each node represents a company, is sized proportionally to convey total annual revenue and coloured by its GICS Industry Group. The links represent trade. relationships - the companies putled closer together have stronger ties in trade.

Click on the World Map tab to see the same diagram on a world map, with each company located on its world headquarters. To find out more about the Cyber Economy, click below.

med more.





Anti-capitalist group Anonymous threatens further cyber sabotage

### Phase 1 - Preparation and Research



### Anti-capitalist group Anonymous threatens further cyber sabotage

Following widespread DDoS attacks, the hacker collective Anonymous may plot further cyber-attacks

Wednesday, February 12th

An apparent representative from Ancoymous has suggested that the 'tacktiviat' group is preparing a series of cyber attack on corporate banking networks

Coming after a succession of DQOS influences are provided to DQOS influences are provided for an 2011, is memory of Anonymour can carried fault be group will expend in carried of subplage.

In an interview with Rescard, the interview, using a crossed down down down the propertitions had arrived a larger for a video scale cyber attack on the solution witer.

The claim comes after an unattributed ten hour denialof-service attack on a US bank's website last week

Unit now, the group had located on standard economic following in the west of Cocacy' non-violant protect, but incents with the may spon change.

The employee decides to modify the floating point computation of the Sybil database to produce errors in results that are in the range -10% to +10% away from the correct value. The error is only to occur if any of the input variables match the last three numbers of the host computer's manufacturer's serial number.

This will cause errors in many critical business systems based on the Synil database. By targeting the floating point, the errors will appear in algorithmic systems rather



#### UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE Risk Studies

### Sybil Logic Bomb Cyber Catastrophe Scenario

Systemically Important Technology Enterprises. Mapping the Consequences of an Interconnected Digital Economy.

Shipe Letitoda

The Cyber Economy The Scenario Impacts Sybil and Industry Mathematicanian Compensational Investment Analysis



5	santti		
+	Australia		
	Belgium		
÷	Bermuda		
ŧ	Brazil		
	Canada		
÷	Chile		
	Chine		
÷	Denmark		
+	Thierd		
	France		
÷	Germany		

#### Macroeconomic Consequences

Macroeconomic Consequences of the Sybil Cyber Catastrophe

To assess the risk to the global economy from this cyber attack we first aggregate revenue at risk from a company level to a country level. We then run our General Equilibrium Economic Model

The network diagram shows countries of the world as nodes, sided and coloured by their revenue at risk ratios, with the links marking the value of service industry trade relationships. If you click on the World Map tab, you will see the countries we have modelled coloured by their revenue at risk ratios from the Sybi Logic Bomb.

To see the results of the macro-economic modelling in terms of global GDPoRisk, click the Chans tab. For more information refer to page ### in the Companion Report.

risid more.





Centre for Risk Studies



Sybil Logic Bomb Cyber Catastrophe Scenario

Systemically Important Technology Enterprises: Mapping the Consequences of an Interconnected Digital Economy

Stage Controls

The Cyber Economy The Scenario Impacts Sybil and Industry Macroeconomic Consequences Investment Analysis



World Map





### **Investment Analysis**

Impact on Investment Portfolios

Lastly, we assess the performance of a typical investment portfolio under the Sybil Logic Bomb scenario. We have built a fictional representative high quality fixed income portfolio with about 85% of investment in sovereigns and corporate bonds rated A or higher.

This chart shows percentage changes with respect to baseline of this portfolio for each of the four variants of the scenario. The cumulative return is computed as the sum of returns up to that time. Losses from the S1 to S3 variants are in the range from 3% to 5%. The X1 variant shows a loss of 8%.





# Centre for **Risk Studies**

