

Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies

2022 ANNUAL RISK SUMMIT

SYSTEMIC RISKS AND INTERLINKED GLOBAL CHALLENGES

Plenary Session 2: Responding to Systemic Threats

Centre for
Risk Studies



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE
Judge Business School



Systemic Risks and Why Business Should Prepare

Julian Enoizi

Global Head of the Public Sector solutions Practice, Guy Carpenter

Centre for
Risk Studies



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE
Judge Business School

DESIGNING PUBLIC-PRIVATE RESPONSES TO SYSTEMIC RISKS

Enabling insurers to manage the risks and capture the opportunities associated with systemic risk

Agenda

- Why systemic risks matter
- How the Pandemic showed us we weren't as prepared as we could have been
- How low probability does not mean "cant happen" and how a 50 year return period does not mean "we don't need to worry for 50 years"
- How Pool Re thought about this
- The importance of a whole of society response
- The importance of business preparation and how this needs to be owned by the CEO
- How organisations like CRS can provide science based-insight to assist with planning

POOL RE - A WHOLE OF SOCIETY APPROACH TO TERRORISM RESILIENCE IN THE UK



Protect the UK economy by stabilising the terrorism insurance market, providing solvency at the point of crisis and avoiding repeated market failure



Enable its members to offer comprehensive, accessible, and affordable cover to UK Plc ensuring economic development



Facilitate growth of the UK economy and continuing investment, particularly in infrastructure, by virtue of the ability to purchase viable terrorism insurance



Accelerate the transfer of risk from Government back to the private market through its ecosystem of risk awareness, modelling, and management



Act as a conduit to ensure alignment of interests between all stakeholders, including private and public parties



Diversify capital sources by encouraging participation from global reinsurance and capital markets



Identify future terrorism vectors and, where there is market consensus, evolve proposition to bridge protection gaps arising from an evolving risk profile and avoid moral hazard



Support national security strategy by incentivising investment in cost-effective loss prevention measures

COULD THE POOL RE MODEL BE USED AS A TEMPLATE TO ADDRESS OTHER, SOCIETALLY CATASTROPHIC RISKS FOR WHICH THERE CANNOT BE ADEQUATE COMMERCIAL INSURANCE WITHOUT STATE SUPPORT

Track Record of Developing Markets and National Resilience

Pool Re has proven with terrorism risk that the combination of a solutions-based approach to managing risk with the economic stability provided by government guarantee:

- › Stimulates a commercial market to grow that would otherwise not be able to exist by incubating extreme risks
 - Incubating risk allows commercial insurers to deploy capital and grow their understanding of the risk without being exposed to the extreme tail risk of unquantifiable or unprecedented events, gradually increasing their 'skin in the game'
- › Monetises a guarantee given by HMT and reduces its contingent liability
- › Increases investment in and understanding of risk mitigation
- › Improves the UK's economic resilience and grows capacity
- › Is not anti-competitive as the market has equal access

Pool Re thus realises many of the IMF and OECD's recommendations on best practice in managing contingent liabilities (CL), as outlined in HMT's 2020 Contingent Liabilities framework and set of recommendations for Government's role as insurer of last resort

A Template for Other Risks?

- › Nearly three decades on, terrorism is a far more complex and potentially more catastrophic risk, but one for which there is a large and competitive insurance market to meet the needs of policyholders and anchor the UK's economic resilience.
- › It is no longer an uninsurable peril because insurers and politicians partnered to create a model which allowed them to share a risk which neither could address alone, or afford to remain unresolved.
- › Covid-19 has highlighted that the traditional insurance model is increasingly unable to keep pace with or provide meaningful protection against a rapidly evolving risk universe increasingly dominated by "intangible" risks to business continuity
- › The appetite for more of these partnerships has historically been tempered by reticence from Government to intervene and potentially become locked into markets, and concern from the industry that state intervention would erode profit opportunities.
- › But the experience with terrorism risk should assuage such concerns. In recent years, Pool Re and its stakeholders have delivered on a strategy to significantly grow commercial carriers' skin in the game, reducing reliance on the Government's guarantee by stimulating profit and growth opportunities in (re)insurance and capital markets even as the risk continues to evolve.

From Cause to Effect: Rethinking Resilience

- › The Covid-19 crisis has forced the majority of governments around the world to confront their now clear status as de facto insurers of last (or indeed first) resort when systemic risks manifest.
- › The pandemic, and nations' response to the pandemic, has highlighted the limits of private sector capacity to underwrite business-continuity losses resulting from any systemic loss event, leaving governments unilaterally bearing the risk.
- › This is a fundamentally unsustainable and non-resilient model, and **a challenge that the industry has shown a strong appetite to help to address.**
- › The stark message delivered by the *House of Lords Select Committee on Risk Assessment and Risk Planning*, which in December 2021 delivered its comprehensive Report into '*Preparing for Extreme Risks: Building a Resilient Society*', carries a salient message not just for the UK but for policymakers from all around the world:

*The UK must be better at anticipating, preparing for and responding to a range of challenging risk scenarios, including those which it has never experienced before... **[We] must move away from a risk management strategy which prepares for individual risk scenarios in isolation and often ignores or fails to appreciate the interconnected nature of our society.** No two risk scenarios will play out in the same way and many emergencies will produce cascading effects that are capable of major disruption as they rip through society.*

*Despite the manifestation of risks being highly uncertain, attempts are made to anticipate them and plan accordingly. [However,] we consider that **generalised resilience is the right response to the threat of increasingly unpredictable risk.** The Government's risk management system should **change from attempting to forecast and mitigate discrete risks, towards a more holistic system of preparedness.***

Provided fundamental policy principles are met, Government can leverage the insurance industry's expertise, infrastructure, and capabilities in building resilience to catastrophic risks

Expertise in risk management and mitigation



Quantification of large catastrophic risk events

- Expertise in infrequent large loss modelling



Developing a relevant customer proposition

- Design of commercial products which meet the specific protection needs of businesses, to enable businesses to conduct trade confidently
- Enabling the transfer of risk



Awareness, prevention and risk control

- Experience in increasing awareness through education
- Management of incentives to align behaviours through effective prevention/ mitigation
- Reinforcing pre event, event and post event preparedness and mitigation e.g. resilience communities and risk bursaries (customer undertakes assessments; insurer fronts the cost of the mitigation measures)



Learning and data

- Process to refine and enhance future outcomes
- Collation of data for use by Government agencies and academia

Capabilities and infrastructure available



Customer reach and distribution

- Access to UK SME and large corporates through existing distribution channels



Premium collection and policy issuance

- Ability to easily transact with customers to collect risk-based premiums and issue policies



Claims validation and payment

- Ability to confirm legitimacy of claims to help mitigate fraud
- Capable of making large scale disbursements to businesses at speed to provide liquidity to customers quickly



Capital management

- Flexibility to adjust cover, retention/ deductible and retrocession programme depending on market appetite from time to time
- Efficient structure to align private and public fund and interests
- Ability to include external sources of capital (e.g. retro/ ILS)



Risk Assessment and Business Continuity Planning Tools

- Access to industry standard best practices, and virtual and digital tools developed across the insurance industry: Best practices checklists, Guidance plans, Self assessment/maturity review questionnaire and Resources for SMEs (such as Institute of Directors, Chambers of Commerce, Federation of Small Businesses)

Case Study: The “Pandemic Re” proposal submitted to HM Treasury in 2020 indicates a willingness by the UK insurance industry to partner with the state to address societal risks, but is now dependent on engagement and commitment by Government

The “Pandemic Re” Initiative

- › In the UK, Stephen Catlin led the “Pandemic Re” initiative, with six working groups consisting of **more than 60 individuals from across the industry, which also included lobby groups and ex-government ministers.**
- › The initiative considered the problem of how to provide compensation to a very high number of businesses simultaneously, using a clear and transparent policy trigger mechanism.
- › How to achieve optimum take-up was also considered, as well as the language used to deliver coverage and claims settlement. In common with many of the other global initiatives, parametric triggers were discussed as a way of simplifying the way a policy was activated and providing a common basis for all policies.
- › **A set of proposals was presented to the UK government in August 2020**, and officials acknowledged the enormous extent of the work, collaboration and commitment that had been undertaken in just over three months; for now, however, their focus remains on the current pandemic.

Proposal Highlights

- › The proposal is clear that “the insurance industry can demonstrate its commitment to a public private scheme by sharing the risk with Government through the provision of **capital and risk retention; contributing to economic confidence and resilience, as well as providing risk management, mitigation and transfer expertise, infrastructure and capabilities**”
- › The proposal considers target customer, Government, and (re)insurance industry perspectives through a range of high-level designs.
- › The initial solution is intended to provide protection to “**UK SME and Mid Corporate businesses**, particularly those with <250 employees, whose behavioural characteristics will inform solution design including propensity to buy and affordability”
- › The scale of the challenge is indicated by our estimate that in 2019, less than 40% of UK SMEs had BI insurance *of any kind*, with far fewer having NDBI.
- › The proposal is clear that **the industry has reached a position where Government dialogue and steer is now required to take the proposal any further.** Government engagement with the following is considered fundamental to developing the proposition further:
 - a) **Overall appetite** to support an insurance solution alongside other Government initiatives
 - b) Willingness to provide a **guarantee**
 - c) **Scope** of businesses to be covered (SMEs only?)
 - d) Position on **mandatory vs voluntary** for customers

The development of a ‘UK Pooling-Potential Framework’ (UKPPF), taking cyber as a starting point but with applicability to a range of other risks with “uninsurable” tails

Phase One

Phase Two

1a

Commercial Cyber Pool

- › Provides a starting point, demonstrating the industry’s appetite for innovation, becoming state-backed after a systemic cyber event renders it necessary (i.e. Phase 2)
- › Underwriting ecosystem and uniform framework which lets commercial entities remain competitive
- › Allows a more sustainable market to develop by creating a cyber underwriting and risk management ecosystem
- › Pooling together risks makes the market more robust, reduces the volatility of claims, and premium costs
- › Decreases national cyber protection gap by increasing cyber insurance penetration and accompanying cyber security rigour
- › Grows FDI, since strengthened national cyber ecosystem improves investment prospects and reduces credit risk

1b

R&D and Innovation Centre

- › Consultancy, R&D, and innovation centre underpinning the commercial pool
- › Centre funded through the premiums & economies of scale generated by commercial cyber pool
- › Leverages and is a convening power for UK’s world-leading academia, security services, specialist insurance market
- › Mandate to enable the design, implementation, and ongoing success of structures emerging from Phases 1 – 3 represented here – both in the UK and internationally
- › Facilitates the development of a solution: The closing of the knowledge gap, some limited insurance cover and the advice of the private sector in ex ante mitigation steps that customers can adopt to increase their own resilience

Public-Private Cyber Pool

- › As part of the design of Phase 1a, Government could:
 - a) Pre-commit to support a PPP
 - b) In event of gradual or sudden market failure (and societal welfare is threatened), intervene to provide liquidity and stability with the provision of a backstop
- › A state-backed cyber pool would provide maximum resilience and competitive advantage.
- › Commercial market able to sustainably grow and profit from cyber risk, whilst fulfilling public policy objectives
- › Pre-empt market failure and mitigate systemic impacts before they occur
- › An enabling environment for risk mitigation and management across the whole of society



Guy Carpenter & Company LLC (Guy Carpenter) provides this document for general information only and this presentation is subject to the terms of this disclaimer.

The information contained herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable, but we do not guarantee its accuracy, and it should be understood to be general insurance/reinsurance information only. Guy Carpenter makes no representations or warranties, express or implied. The information is not intended to be taken as advice with respect to any individual situation and cannot be relied upon as such. Please consult your insurance/reinsurance advisors with respect to individual coverage issues.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any calculation or forward-looking statements. Guy Carpenter undertakes no obligation to update or revise publicly any data, or current or forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, research, future events or otherwise. The rating agencies referenced herein reserve the right to modify company ratings at any time.

Statements concerning tax, accounting or legal matters should be understood to be general observations based solely on our experience as reinsurance brokers and risk consultants and may not be relied upon as tax, accounting, regulatory or legal advice, which we are not authorized to provide. All such matters should be reviewed with your own qualified advisors in these areas.

This document or any portion of the information it contains may not be copied or reproduced in any form without the permission of Guy Carpenter except that clients of Guy Carpenter need not obtain such permission when using this report for their internal purposes.

The trademarks and service marks contained herein are the property of their respective owners.

Centre for
Risk Studies



UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE
Judge Business School