

Three and a big half: Policies to decarbonise UK electricity

Prof Michael Grubb, Chief Economist, The Carbon Trust
Senior Research Associate, Faculty of Economics, Cambridge University
& Visiting Professor of Climate Change and Energy Policy, Imperial College, London



www.electricitypolicy.org



Outline

- Context and vision
- Its prices and efficiency and technology-investment,
 - Energy Efficiency and the UK 'Carbon Reduction Commitment'
 - Offshore wind: blown off course?
 - Carbon price 'stability'
- ➤ .. And

Combines high-level insights from several areas of research and engagement ...





Edited by Michael Grubb, Yooraj jamasb and Michael G. Pollitt

Delivering a Low-Carbon Electricity System

Technologies, Economics and Policy

Committee



Building a Low-Carbon Economy – The UK's Contribution to Tackling Climate Change

www.theccc.org.uk



www.electricitypolicy.org

CCC Report (Dec 2008) recommended interim (now accepted) and intended carbon budgets out to 2020, and placed decarbonisation of electricity at the centre of the intermediate and long-term strategy



Reducing power sector emissions:

Renewables (Wind, solar, tidal and marine, biomass), nuclear, CCS



The strategy requires radical decarbonisation of power sector



Emissions intensity to 2050 TWh electricity generation per year g/CO₂ per kWh 90% path

Power generation to 2050

Major decarbonisation is possible if there is sufficient CARBON low carbon investment, electricity end-use efficiency, and carbon pricing sufficient to 'invert' load curve

TRUST



Even for a given plant mix, CO2 emissions can depend powerfully on the combination of demand and carbon prices



Supportive regulation' scenario with high gas price (5p)





Outline

- Context and vision
- Its prices and efficiency and technology-investment, and ...
- Energy Efficiency and the UK 'Carbon Reduction Commitment'
- Technology investment: offshore wind blown off course?
- Carbon price 'stability'
- ➤ .. And

Energy efficiency policy must understand and address the real-world barriers

- A Consumption-based 'ETS' was proposed as a cost-effective way to **TRUST** target rapidly rising emissions associated with large non-energy-intensives

	Core features	Rationale	Possible extension
Sector coverage	Apply to <i>large non-energy- intensive organisations,</i> particularly commercial sector	 Exploits capacity of large firms to manage their energy and company commitments Addresses numerous small sites with minimal difficulty Sector rapid emissions growth, currently CCL only instrument 	Public sector, some CCA sectors
Emissions coverage	Cover <i>direct and electricity-</i> <i>embodied</i> emissions	 Electricity accounts for 70% of LNE sector emissions Enables rational trade-off with decentralised / local / cogeneration 	Transport (eg. haulage) emissions
Operation & incentive	Purchase allowances to cover emissions, revenue to be recycled back to sector	Need to acquire allowances and verify will address the Behaviour & Motivation barrier far more effectively than just paying marginal electricity bill	Self-selection (opt-in) to escape rising CCL
Allocation	Most allowances to be bought from auction or from EU ETS	 Sectors are not energy-intensive: competitiveness not a concern Greatly simplifies allocation process – no company-specific 	EU ETS link may improve price & stability in EU ETS

UK government presented [EPC] as 'an option' in 2006 Energy Review, 'Carbon Reduction Commitment (CRC) decision in 2008 White Paper



- Targeted at large UK firms and public sector organisations that fall outside scope of EU ETS and CCAs
 - Based on Half Hourly metering and > 6000MWh/yr consumption
 - Covers about ? 5000 ? organisations
- Fully auctioned with revenues recycled to participants in part on basis of performance league table
- **2010-2012**:
 - Price fixed at €12/tCO2
- 2013 onwards:
 - Auctioned with declining cap
- Expected to drive strong interest in energy efficiency services
- Projected to save over 1MtCO2/yr

Carbon Reduction Commitment - timeline







Outline

- Context and vision
- Its prices and efficiency and technology-investment, and ...
- Energy Efficiency and the UK 'Carbon Reduction Commitment'
- **Technology investment: offshore wind blown off course?**
- Carbon price 'stability'
- ▶ .. And





In practice, widely accepted that additional supports needed, these have become increasingly complex and 'managed' over time



- Renewables Obligation Certificates (Portfolio Standard)
 - A 'market-based' approach to innovation support
 - Fell into 'first past the post' trap
 - Ended up as a complex way to generate a largely managed price
 - Banding introduced to support investment in less established technologies
- Growing Whitehall admission that feed-in tariffs are more effective
- Credit-crunch-induced crisis in the 'flagship' wind sector, projects that are 'ready for financial closure':
 - Around 3GW onshore
 - Close to 4GW offshore (Round 2)
 - Much more offshore (Round 3)
- 2009 budget proposed emergency measures to increase the crediting ratio for offshore wind to 2.0, declining to 1.75, then back to 1.5
- ... and EIB capital finance ..?
- ... and carbon price .. ?



Outline

- Context and vision
- Its prices and efficiency and technology-investment, and ...
- Energy Efficiency and the UK 'Carbon Reduction Commitment'
- Technology investment: offshore wind blown off course?
- Solution Stability' Stability'
- ▶ .. And

EUA prices



clima

e change

www.perspectives.cc · michaelowa@perspectives.cc

Our analysis pointed to likelihood of Phase II price crash *before* scale of recession became apparent



Supply:

- Known oversupply in Kyoto 'government' market
- Performance of CDM projects & pipeline estimates stabilising just below 2 Billion tonnes CO2 reductions by 2012

Demand:

- Impact of:
 - Usual 'projection inflation'
 - Unprecedented energy prices
 - Other abatement measures ...
- CT Commissioned Camecon (Sept 08) to look at EU ETS projections 2008-12. Predicted negligible net demand
- Predictions of price collapse borne out even before full impacts of recession factored in

See The Global Carbon Mechanisms: evidence and implications, Carbon Trust, February 2009

The 'downside' impact of low carbon prices must be matched against the 'upside' needs if the mechanisms CARBON are to contribute significantly

- One cannot reassure investor confidence on the basis that prices will be resurrected by a collapse in investment!!
- The 2GtCO2/yr by 2020 in our extrapolation is *modest* compared to the *overall non-OECD mitigation* implied by global abatement scenarios if non-energy sources are included (eg. 5-10GtCO2/yr, IEA WEO) and even smaller compared to eg. McKinsey potentials
- 'Buy to bank' now is unlikely to support high prices in a time of credit crunch anyway ...
- In Especially as 'twice bitten, thrice shy' if EU ETS Phase II prices see sustained collapse, how much will business bet on governments getting it right next time?

Policy 'interventions' to restore market ... ?

Economic & political fundamentals:

- Clarity about objectives: quantity, investment and efficiency
- Distinguishing features: governments establish market and quantities, and this offers tools not otherwise available

Undertaking further research on economics of cap v tax, price floors / corridors,

Practical (demand-side) options to support price:

- Entry of Canadian purchases
- Retiring units (of EU, or transition economies)
- Commitment to banking (EU)
- Early declaration on post-2012 targets
- Reserve price on EU ETS auctions (esp. UK and German)

Germany and the UK could largely underpin carbon prices in Phase II just by setting reserve price on forthcoming auctions

- Dominated by the big two EU emitters (> 1/3rd EU CO2):
 - Germany (9%)
 - UK (7%)
- Plus lesser contributions eg. Netherlands (4%), Hungary (5%)
- Plus most (not all) of unused New Entrant Reserves (5.5% EU total; higher in Germany & UK)
- UK auctioned 4MtCO2 last year, due to auction total 25MtCO2 this year
- Germany yet to conduct first auction

See Grubb (2009), 'Reinforcing carbon markets under uncertainty', www.climatestrategies.org



.. And the "big half ?"

- Electricity regulation is based on a paradigm of competition in which short-run marginal costs drive price and hence investment and operational decisions

- Low carbon electricity systems are the opposite: they require long-term investment in infrastructure and capitalintensive, low marginal cost plant, in which risk deters or delays

- .. And those consuming industries who might pay, for long term, low risk and/or low carbon, are contractually unable to reap the benefits

Three and a big half: Policies to decarbonise UK electricity

Prof Michael Grubb, Chief Economist, The Carbon Trust Senior Research Associate, Faculty of Economics, Cambridge University

& Visiting Professor of Climate Change and Energy Policy, Imperial College, London



www.electricitypolicy.org