



Delivering secure low carbon energy

David Newbery **DECC**



London 12 February 2010 http://www.electricitypolicy.org.uk



Outline

- No-brainer actions needed
 - CCC case for a proper carbon price
- Market design issues
 - Congestion management, plant operation
 - Location/type of generation and nodal pricing
 - Transition and treatment of existing assets
 - liquidity, entry, balancing, contracting





Criteria for market design

- Ensure adequate price for carbon
- Deliver efficient dispatch
- Foster competition and entry => efficiency
- Incentives for timely, efficient (location and type) and adequate investment in G and T

 minimising avoidable risk: FIT/tenders for RES
 SO offers longer term contracts for reserves?
- treat RD&D RES/CCS support as public good

=> need efficient revenue source, not tax on electricity Electricity Policy Research Group



The case for a carbon tax

- Current EUA price too low and too risky
- Decide desired trajectory of C price
- Charge fuels full C tax with rebates up to value of EUAs surrendered
 - and possibly for exposed traded sectors (cf Scandinavia)
 - Extends coverage to all sector helps decarbonise
 - Can replace CCL (and start at same level?)
- Needed by 2015+ so can choose gradual increase
- Is fiscally sound
 - Could replace distortionary renewables tax

Electricity Policy Research Group

What is needed to allow market to work?

A Targeted Reforms	B Enhanced Obligations (EO)	C EO & Renewables Tenders	D Capacity Tenders	E Central Energy Buyer
Μ	1inimum carbon pr	ice		
Improved ability for demand side to respond			nd	
Improved		price signals		Central buyer of energy
	Enhanced oblig and syste	ations on suppliers em operator		(including capacity)
	Cen	tralised renewables m	arket	
		Replace RO with renewables tenders	Tenders for all capacity	

Source: Ofgem Project Discovery Final Feb 2010



Proposed GB transmission access

- Proposing "Connect and manage socialised"
 - still for firm access?
 - worsens locational incentives?
- => excessive T capacity for wind
- TSO uses contracts and Balancing Mechanism to manage congestion
 - weak incentives on G to manage output
 - costly to deal with Scottish congestion



Spatial and temporal optimisation

- => nodal pricing + central dispatch
- Nodal price reflects congestion & marginal losses
 - lower prices in export-constrained region
 - efficient investment location, guides grid expansion
- Central dispatch for efficient scheduling, balancing
- PJM demonstrates that it can work
 - Repeated in NY, New England, California (planned)

Recreate a pool for liquidity, entry and contracting





Transition for existing plant

- Existing G receives long-term transmission contracts but pays grid TEC charges
- for output above TEC, sell at LMP
- \Rightarrow G significantly better off than at present
- ⇒ No T rights left for intermittent generation
 Challenge: devise contracts without excess
 rents and facilitate wind entry







Delivering secure low carbon energy

David Newbery **DECC**



London 12 February 2010 http://www.electricitypolicy.org.uk